

	Page 1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Τ.	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2	TOR THE BOOTHERN BIBIRIET OF NEW TORK
3	NAACP, SPRING VALLEY
J	BRANCH, ET AL.
4	
	PLAINTIFFS,
5	·
	VS. CIVIL ACTION NO. 7:17-CV-08943
6	
	EAST RAMAPO CENTRAL
7	SCHOOL DISTRICT, ET AL.,
8	DEFENDANTS.
9	/
10	
	DEPOSITION OF MARGARET "PEGGY" HATTON
11	
12	Taken on behalf of the Defendants
13	
14	DATE & TIME: Friday, November 16, 2018
	9:50 a.m 4:00 p.m.
15	
	PLACE: Hedquist & Associates
16	345 East Forsyth Street
	Jacksonville, Florida 32202
17	
18	
19	Examination of the witness taken before:
20	Examinacion of the withess taken before:
∠ 0	Celeste O. Werkheiser, RMR, CRR, Notary Public
21	Cereble O. Werkherber, KMK, CKK, NOCary Public
22	Veritext Legal Solutions
	Mid-Atlantic Region
	1250 Eye Street NW - Suite 350
23	Washington, D.C. 20005
24	J ,
25	

		Page 3	
1		INDEX	
2	WITNESS:	PAGE:	
3			
4	MARGARET "PE	GGY" HATTON	
4	EXAMINAT	ION BY MS. SCHUSTER4	
5			
	CROSS-EX.	AMINATION BY MR. NOVAKOVSKI155	
6			
7 8	EXHIBITS:		
J	EXHIBIT 1	SUBPOENA7	
9			
	EXHIBIT 2	DECLARATION8	
10	EXHIBIT 3	EMAIL CHAIN FROM MS. HATTON TO	
11	EXIIIDII	ROCKNET GROUP DATED 12/8/201421	
12	EXHIBIT 4	EMAIL CHAIN DATED 12/13/2010	
		TO 12/12/201255	
13	EXHIBIT 5	EAST RAMAPO STAKEHOLDERS	
14		PRESERVING PUBLIC EDUCATION	
		MINUTES OF MEETING OF	
15	_	12/10/2009101	
16	EXHIBIT 6	LETTER TO THE EDITOR: HATTON  NOT HAPPY WITH E. RAMAPO VOTE117	
17		NOT HAPPI WITH E. KAMAPO VOIEII/	
	EXHIBIT 7	EMAIL CHAIN DATED 3/17/2014	
18		TO 3/18/2014132	
19	EXHIBIT 8	EMAIL FROM MS. HATTON TO MR. FORREST DATED 4/10/2014143	
20		MR. FORREST DATED 4/10/2014143	
21			
22			
23			
24 25			
-			

# STIPULATION 2 It was stipulated and agreed by

It was stipulated and agreed by and between counsel for the respective parties, and the witness, that the reading and signing of the deposition by the witness was not waived.

6

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

MARGARET "PEGGY" HATTON,

acknowledged having been duly sworn to tell the truth and testified upon her oath as follows:

THE WITNESS: Yes.

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. SCHUSTER:

- Q Good morning. My name is Stephanie Schuster.
- 14 I represent the East Ramapo Central School District.
- 15 You're Margaret Hatton?
- 16 A Yes.
- Q You go by Peggy?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And Ms. Hatton, do you have a middle name?
- 20 A Ann.
- Q Ann is your middle name? I know you're
- 22 represented by counsel today?
- 23 A Yes, I am.
- 24 Q And are you represented by Latham and Watkins?
- 25 A Yes, I am.

- Central School District litigation pending in the

  Southern District of New York. When I refer to

  litigation today, or the litigation, will you understand

  that I'm referring to this, the NAACP, the East Ramapo

  case?
- 6 A Yes.
  - Q You're not a party to the litigation, right?
  - A No.

7

18

19

20

2.1

22

- 9 Q Did you ever consider becoming a party to this litigation?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q For the record, what is your race?
- 13 A My race?
- 14 Q Yes, ma'am.
- 15 A I'm white.
- Q You can set Exhibit 1 aside.

  (Exhibit 2 marked.)
  - Q Ms. Hatton, I'm handing you a document we've marked as Exhibit 2 to your deposition. And this is titled the Declaration of Margaret "Peggy" Hatton and was filed in the litigation on December 8, 2017; does that sound right?
    - A I'm sorry, could you ask that question again?
- Q If you look at the top of the document, in blue, there's a file date that says December 8, 2017; is

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. 1 2. BY MS. SCHUSTER: But I thought public school community meant Q people who have children who attend public schools. 4 MR. NOVAKOVSKI: Objection. 5 BY MS. SCHUSTER: 6 7 That's what you testified to earlier; is that 0 right? 8 9 MR. NOVAKOVSKI: Objection. THE WITNESS: No. 10 No. BY MS. SCHUSTER: 11 12 Okay. So if you were to refer to someone as a Q 13 public school candidate for the School Board or a public school member of the board, what do you mean by public 14 school? 15 16 People who had an interest in the public school 17 or whose children, perhaps in the past, attended the public schools, people who themselves went to public 18 schools and understood what public school education was 19 2.0 all about. 2.1 You said people who had an interest. You just mean a general interest? Or what do you mean? 22 An interest in getting a decent education for 23 Α

And what, in your opinion, constitutes

public school students.

Q

24

Are you familiar with a neighborhood called 1 2 Monsey in East Ramapo? А Yes. Is there a large portion of residents in Monsey 4 who are Orthodox and Hasidic Jews? 5 MR. NOVAKOVSKI: Objection. 6 7 THE WITNESS: I don't know how you would quantify large. 8 BY MS. SCHUSTER: 9 Well, how did you clarify it in response to my 10 prior question? 11 12 Α Those are -- New Square and Kaser are 13 exclusively Hasidic communities. Monsey is more diverse. 14 15 Would you say a majority of the residents in Monsey are Orthodox or Hasidic Jews? 16 17 I don't know. Α Would you describe Monsey as predominantly a 18 private school community? 19 2.0 MR. NOVAKOVSKI: Objection. 2.1 THE WITNESS: I don't know. BY MS. SCHUSTER: 22 23 Q Do you have an opinion? MR. NOVAKOVSKI: Objection. 24 25 THE WITNESS: I know a lot of kids from public

- school that lived in those neighborhoods as well.
- 2 BY MS. SCHUSTER:
- Q Do you have an opinion one way or another of whether Monsey is a predominantly private school community?
- 6 A No. I really don't. I don't know.
- Q You've been a candidate for a seat on the district's Board of Education; is that right?
  - A That's correct.
- 10 Q Twice?
- 11 A That's correct.
- Q And you first ran for a seat on the board in
- 13 2009?

- 14 A That's correct.
- Q And then a second time in 2011; is that right?
- 16 A That's right.
- Q You didn't win the election for School Board in
- 18 2009?
- 19 A No, I did not.
- Q Do you think you lost the election because of your race?
- 22 A No.
- Q And you didn't win the election for School
- 24 | Board in 2011, correct?
- 25 A I'm sorry?

MR. NOVAKOVSKI: Objection.

No.

THE WITNESS:

24

white community that you referred to in paragraph 32? 1 2. Α No.

Turn to the same page, paragraph 31. It is the last sentence, you state, "I was not invited to attend candidate forums or campaign in predominantly white neighbors including New Square, Kaser or Monsey."

Did I read that right?

- That's what it says. А
- Which candidate forums in New Square were you not invited to?
- I don't know if they -- what forums they had because I was never invited. They were not publicized.
- Q So you don't know if there were any candidate forums in New Square?
- 15 А I do not know.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

- There could have been none? 0
- There could have been none. 17 Α
- What candidate forums in Kaser were you not 18 invited to? 19
- 2.0 MR. NOVAKOVSKI: Objection.
- 2.1 THE WITNESS: I don't know because I wasn't invited.
- BY MS. SCHUSTER: 23
- So there could have been none? 24 0
- 25 There could have been done. Α

available to come and talk to the congregation, you know. The same thing with maybe -- I believe that Waldorf private school also invited candidates at different times to come and speak. So the outreach more or less came from the outside to us.

## BY MS. SCHUSTER:

1

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

- Q Okay. And when you're referring to us, who's us?
- A The slate of candidates that we were running together with.
  - Q Who was on the slate of candidates in 2009?
- A Oh, boy. Emilia White, Leonardo Vera, and myself.
  - Q And how did that slate come together?
  - A There was a meeting of what was then called the Stakeholders group which were Stakeholders for the public school community and they -- people nominated people that they felt would be a good candidate and at the end of the evening there was a vote.
  - Q Did Stakeholders eventually take on a different name?
    - A I'm sorry?
    - Q Did Stakeholders ever take on a different name?
- A I can't really say that because people -- it
  was a fluid group and so other groups rose. I wouldn't

1 say it took on another name. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

I also should say that Tony Levin was a candidate in that slate and he dropped out, which is why I was put in.

- Q You took Tony Levin's seat, or his spot on the slate, pardon me.
  - A Not his seat but his spot on the ticket.
- Q Got it. So the Stakeholders was a meeting for members of the public school community?
- A There were people of every -- there were people represented. A lot of organizations. That's how it started out. Organizations that would send one of their members to attend the meetings.
  - Q Which organizations?
- A Oh, my gosh, I can't tell you. I don't recall all of them.
  - Q Do you recall any of them?
  - A The NAACP, JAMCCAR, KONBITLAKAY. Don't ask me to spell it. There were others. And honestly I don't recall. It was such a long time ago.
  - Q Was the Southeast Ramapo Taxpayers Association part of that?
- 23 A No.
- Q And is it these organizations, the NAACP,

  JAMCCAR, KONBITLAKAY, and others that formed the

(Exhibit 4 marked.)

Yes, she did.

25

Α

the community as long as they qualified. But people would want our backing the Stakeholders group because we would help them run their campaigns. But they could do whatever they wanted.

#### BY MS. SCHUSTER:

2.0

2.1

Q And then the last sentence of this bullet point, it says, "Latino population needs to be motivated and out to vote."

Do you see that?

- A Yes, I do.
- Q Was there a lack of motivation with the Latino population at this time?

MR. NOVAKOVSKI: Objection.

THE WITNESS: Yes. I would say that there was. The lack of motivation in the Latino community at that point was that many of them were here illegally. They were afraid to come out to meetings. Many of them couldn't vote because of their illegal status in this country. So we tried to get them to help us in ways that were legal like helping us with door knocking campaigns and helping us translate things into their language, the same thing with the Creole population so that we were hitting every audience to try to get them to help us get the vote out. So that's probably what that's in

1 reference to.

2

3

5

#### BY MS. SCHUSTER:

- Q Sure. The lack of motivation part, was there also just a lack of voting by eligible Latino voters?

  MR. NOVAKOVSKI: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: I can't say that that's true
  because I would think that the majority were not
  eligible to vote.

# 9 BY MS. SCHUSTER:

- 10 Q Majority were not eligible?
- 11 A That's my impression.
- Q Did the Stakeholders ever distribute materials in Yiddish?
- 14 A I think we did. I'm not sure but I think we 15 did.
- Q Who on the Stakeholders speaks Yiddish?
- 17 A Nobody.
- 18 Q I want to ask you about the next bullet point,
  19 which says "homework" in all caps. Do you see that?
- 20 A Yes.
- Q And it says, "recruit someone for candidate pool."
- 23 Can you tell me what that means?
- 24 MR. NOVAKOVSKI: Objection.
- 25 THE WITNESS: I guess it meant to do some

schools serving children of color almost exclusively."

Do you see that?

A Yes, I do.

1

2.

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

Q How do you know that private schools in East Ramapo served white children almost exclusively?

A Well, we know that the Yeshivas were white exclusively. They wouldn't take my son because he was a different religion than they were. And they certainly wouldn't take anybody else that wasn't the same religion as they were. So those were the majority of the private schools in East Ramapo.

You know, the number at some point, and I don't know what year it was, but it was over 100 private

Yeshivas or Jewish day schools in the district. And there were, in our school district, maybe five or less than five other private schools other than Yeshiva or Jewish day schools.

Q Did you ever attempt to enroll either of your sons in a Yeshiva?

A I was laughed at when I suggested it to the tutor who was tutoring my son. She said it would absolutely not happen and she laughed.

Q But you never actually attempted to enroll either of your sons?

A No.

Was that spilling into the School Board 1 elections? 2. MR. NOVAKOVSKI: Objection. THE WITNESS: You have to repeat that because I 4 5 can't hear you. BY MS. SCHUSTER: 6 7 Was that -- the politics of the last election cycle, was that spilling over into the School Board 8 elections in your opinion? 9 Because this election took place in 10 Д November and the School Board elections hadn't begun 11 12 yet. 13 And are you not, in this SOS East Ramapo list serve, are you not talking about anything related to the 14 15 School Board? Well, I'm referring to the previous election in 16 17 that particular piece, that we need to get past who was elected as county executives and get on with, you know, 18 with our organization for the upcoming School Board 19 election. 2.0 But we hadn't started at that point, I don't 2.1 believe. 22 You can set that one aside. Do you recall if a

public school candidate ran for the School Board in May 2014?

23

24

25

A I'm not sure. There was one year that they did

1 not run candidates but I'm not sure of the year.

(Exhibit 8 marked.)

- Q Ms. Hatton, I'm handing you a document that we've marked as Exhibit 8. Take a quick look at this and let me know if this refreshes your recollection, if 2014 was the year that no public school candidate ran for the School Board.
  - A Okay.

(Pause.)

A Okay.

2.

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

- Q Does that refresh your recollection if May 2014 was the year that no public School Board candidates ran for the School Board?
- A Yes.
  - Q And it was in May 2014?
- A I believe that they did not run a candidate that year.
  - Q And was it your idea that the Stakeholders not put together a slate of candidates for 2014?
    - A Was it my idea? No. I didn't live there at the time so people would ask me for my opinion.
      - Q But you agreed with that move?
      - A Did I agree with this? I wrote it, yes.
- Q You agreed with not running any candidates in 2014.

1 A That's what I wrote.

2.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q Do you still agree with it?
- A At that time, yes.
- Q Do you agree with it today? Do you think it was a good idea?
  - A Absolutely not.
- Q Do you know if public school advocates today still show up at School Board meetings in large numbers?
- A They do not show up in large numbers. From reviewing videotapes and reading Tweets, we can see that the numbers have dramatically decreased.

The reason for that is that many of the illegal Latinos feel as though once they're on Ramapo property, which the school district, even though it resides in Spring Valley, the Ramapo police actually has jurisdiction over that, and they believe that they will be picked up by ICE.

There have been people from the religious community that have videotaped people protesting and they're afraid that they're going to be deported. And as a result of that -- you know, the Latino community is the largest part of the East Ramapo school district. Their numbers are increasing.

And so as a result of that, those people from the Latino community would walk, bring baby strollers,

- A Carolyn Watson was a PTA parent in the public school.
  - Q Ms. Watson run against you in the 2009 race for School Board?
  - A Yes, she did.
  - O What is Ms. Watson's race?
- 7 A Her what?
  - O Race.

4

5

9

10

13

18

19

20

2.1

22

- A Race. Oh, I thought you said weight. That wouldn't have been a good answer. She's white.
- 11 Q Did she have the backing of the Stakeholders as well?
  - A I assume not because I had their backing.
- MS. SCHUSTER: Okay. It's a good time to break.
- 16 (A recess was taken.)
- 17 BY MS. SCHUSTER:
  - Q Back on the record. Ms. Hatton, earlier today
    I believe you testified that JAMCCAR and the NAACP would
    reach out to the Stakeholders to invite their slate of
    candidates to campaign events.
    - A They reached out to everybody that was running.
- Q That was running. Who from JAMCCAR reached out to you?
  - A Whoever was the engagement person, you know,

	Page 156
1	Do you see that?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And which portion of the white community
4	supported you in 2009 and 2011?
5	A Well, certainly probably the entire village of
6	Chestnut Ridge that knew me would have supported me and
7	many of the other villages would have supported me. I
8	was pretty well known in the community so I think I
9	would have gotten a lot of support from the general
10	population.
11	Q And which portion of the white community did
12	not support you in 2009 and 2011?
13	A People who didn't have their children in public
14	schools or whose children graduated, perhaps, or retired
15	people in addition, you know, to the blocked community.
16	MR. NOVAKOVSKI: I have no further questions.
17	(Witness excused.)
18	(The deposition was concluded at 4:00 p.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

3 STATE OF FLORIDA)
4 COUNTY OF DUVAL)

I, Celeste O. Werkheiser, RMR, CRR, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the deposition of MARGARET "PEGGY" HATTON; that a review of the transcript was requested; and that the foregoing transcript, Pages 1 through 156, is a true record of my stenographic notes.

I further certify that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.

DATED on November 17, 2018, Jacksonville, Duval County, Florida.



Celeste O. Werkheiser, RMR, CRR